

8

MARKET SHARE FOR JEWISH DAY SCHOOL

(Jewish Children Age 5-12)




TABLE 3					
TYPE OF SCHOOL ATTENDED BY JEWISH CHILDREN AGE 13-17					
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS					
BASE: JEWISH CHILDREN AGE 13-17					
Community	Year	Private School		Public School	Jewish Day School Market Share ^①
		<i>Jewish Day School</i>	Non-Jewish		
New York *	2011	57%	8	35	88%
Baltimore *	2010	45%	17	39	73%
Miami *	2014	37%	15	49	71%
Bergen *	2001	36%	5	59	89%
Middlesex *	2008	31%	1	69	98%
Cleveland *	2011	26%	11	63	70%
Monmouth *	1997	23%	5	72	82%
Pittsburgh *	2002	22%	15	63	59%
Rhode Island	2002	20%	23	57	46%
Chicago *	2010	18%	8	75	70%
St. Louis	2014	16%	16	68	49%
Howard County *	2010	14%	0	87	100%
S Palm Beach *	2005	14%	16	70	45%
St. Paul	2004	13%	13	73	50%
Washington *	2003	13%	15	71	47%
New Haven *	2010	12%	15	73	45%
San Diego *	2003	11%	12	77	47%
Milwaukee *	1996	10%	4	85	70%
Denver *	2007	10%	7	82	59%
Tucson	2002	10%	8	82	57%
Broward *	1997	10%	8	83	55%
Harrisburg	1994	9%	3	88	75%
Cincinnati *	2008	8%	9	83	48%

**TABLE 3
TYPE OF SCHOOL ATTENDED BY JEWISH CHILDREN AGE 13-17
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS**


BASE: JEWISH CHILDREN AGE 13-17

Community	Year	Private School		Public School	Jewish Day School Market Share ^❶
		Jewish Day School	Non-Jewish		
Richmond *	1994	8%	19	73	29%
Tidewater	2001	8%	29	63	22%
Hartford *	2000	7%	4	89	61%
Minneapolis	2004	7%	6	86	53%
Atlantic County	2004	7%	8	86	47%
Charlotte	1997	7%	8	86	46%
Atlanta *	2006	7%	14	79	32%
Phoenix *	2002	6%	5	88	55%
St. Petersburg	1994	6%	10	85	38%
Columbus	2013	6%	5	89	NA
Las Vegas	2005	5%	5	91	51%
San Antonio	2007	5%	13	82	30%
Jacksonville	2002	3%	22	75	12%
Lehigh Valley	2007	2%	13	85	10%
Rochester	1999	1%	6	92	18%
W Palm Beach *	2005	1%	7	92	16%
Portland (ME)	2007	1%	26	73	4%
Westport	2000	0%	8	92	0%
Wilmington *	1995	0%	23	77	0%

❶ The *Jewish Day School Market Share* is defined as the percentage of Jewish children in a private school who attend a Jewish day school. Market shares are generally calculated from small sample sizes and the results should be treated with caution.


* Community had a Jewish high school or was served by a Jewish high school located in a neighboring community at the time of the survey.

9 CURRENTLY ATTEND JEWISH DAY SCHOOL (Jewish Children Age 13-17)



10 CURRENTLY ATTEND NON-JEWISH PRIVATE SCHOOL


(Jewish Children Age 13-17)



11

CURRENTLY ATTEND PUBLIC SCHOOL


(Jewish Children Age 13-17)



12

MARKET SHARE FOR JEWISH DAY SCHOOL

(Jewish Children Age 13-17)



**TABLE 4
DID NOT/WILL NOT SERIOUSLY INVESTIGATE
SENDING JEWISH CHILDREN TO A JEWISH DAY SCHOOL
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS**

BASE: HOUSEHOLDS WITH JEWISH CHILDREN AGE 0-17


Community	Year	%		Community	Year	%
Westport ¹	2000	84%		Broward	1997	56%
Rochester	1999	75%		Monmouth	1997	56%
Portland (ME)	2007	74%		San Antonio	2007	54%
Wilmington	1995	72%		W Palm Beach	2005	53%
Hartford	2000	69%		Charlotte	1997	53%
Richmond *	1994	69%		Rhode Island	2002	52%
St. Petersburg *	1994	69%		Detroit	2005	51%
New Haven	2010	67%		Middlesex	2008	50%
Orlando *	1993	66%		Lehigh Valley	2007	45%
Washington	2003	65%		Bergen	2001	45%
Milwaukee	1996	65%		S Palm Beach	2005	44%
Atlantic County	2004	64%		Jacksonville	2002	42%
Sarasota	2001	64%		Las Vegas	2005	33%
Harrisburg *	1994	62%		Miami	2014	24%
Tucson	2002	60%				
Minneapolis	2004	59%				
St. Paul	2004	58%				
Tidewater	2001	57%				

* Question asked was *seriously consider* rather than *seriously investigate*.
¹ The Jewish day school is located in a neighboring community.

13

DID NOT/WILL NOT SERIOUSLY INVESTIGATE SENDING JEWISH CHILDREN TO A JEWISH DAY SCHOOL

(Households with Jewish Children Age 0-17)



**TABLE 5
TUITION COST
AS A MAJOR REASON FOR NOT SENDING JEWISH CHILDREN
TO A JEWISH DAY SCHOOL
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS**


**BASE: RESPONDENTS IN HOUSEHOLDS WITH JEWISH CHILDREN AGE 0-17
WHO DID NOT, WILL NOT, OR MIGHT NOT SEND JEWISH CHILDREN TO JEWISH DAY SCHOOL**

Community	Year	%		Community	Year	%
W Palm Beach	2005	57%		Washington	2003	23%
Broward	1997	47%		Lehigh Valley	2007	22%
Miami	2014	45%		Tucson	2002	22%
S Palm Beach	2005	44%		Hartford	2000	22%
Las Vegas	2005	43%		Bergen	2001	20%
Middlesex	2008	42%		Milwaukee	1996	20%
Monmouth	1997	38%		Tidewater	2001	19%
St. Paul	2004	37%		Rochester	1999	15%
Sarasota	2001	36%		Portland (ME)	2007	14%
Atlantic County	2004	34%		Rhode Island	2002	14%
Detroit	2005	33%		Charlotte	1997	11%
San Antonio	2007	31%		Wilmington	1995	10%
Jacksonville	2002	30%		Westport	2000	8%
Minneapolis	2004	28%		Harrisburg	1994	5%
New Haven	2010	25%				

14

TUITION COST AS A MAJOR REASON FOR NOT SENDING JEWISH CHILDREN TO A JEWISH DAY SCHOOL

(Respondents in Households with Jewish Children Age 0-17
Who Did Not, Will Not, or Might Not Send Jewish Children to Jewish Day School)



**TABLE 6
BELIEF IN PUBLIC SCHOOLS/ETHNICALLY MIXED ENVIRONMENT
AS A MAJOR REASON FOR NOT SENDING JEWISH CHILDREN
TO A JEWISH DAY SCHOOL
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS**

**BASE: RESPONDENTS IN HOUSEHOLDS WITH JEWISH CHILDREN AGE 0-17
WHO DID NOT, WILL NOT, OR MIGHT NOT SEND JEWISH CHILDREN TO JEWISH DAY SCHOOL**


Community	Year	%		Community	Year	%
Bergen	2001	44%		Harrisburg	1994	25%
Milwaukee	1996	43%		New Haven	2010	24%
S Palm Beach	2005	41%		Rhode Island	2002	24%
Minneapolis	2004	41%		Rochester	1999	24%
Washington	2003	41%		San Antonio	2007	23%
Westport	2000	41%		Wilmington	1995	22%
Atlantic County	2004	38%		Charlotte	1997	21%
Hartford	2000	38%		Tidewater	2001	20%
Middlesex	2008	36%		Jacksonville	2002	18%
Tucson	2002	34%		Sarasota	2001	17%
Detroit	2005	31%		Broward	1997	16%
St. Paul	2004	31%		Monmouth	1997	16%
W Palm Beach	2005	29%		Miami	2014	11%
Lehigh Valley	2007	27%		Las Vegas	2005	10%
Portland (ME)	2007	25%				

15

**BELIEF IN PUBLIC SCHOOLS/ETHNICALLY MIXED ENVIRONMENT
AS A MAJOR REASON FOR NOT SENDING
JEWISH CHILDREN TO A JEWISH DAY SCHOOL**

(Respondents in Households with Jewish Children Age 0-17

Who Did Not, Will Not, or Might Not Send Jewish Children to Jewish Day School)



**TABLE 7
DISTANCE FROM HOME
AS A MAJOR REASON FOR NOT SENDING JEWISH CHILDREN
TO A JEWISH DAY SCHOOL
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS**


**BASE: RESPONDENTS IN HOUSEHOLDS WITH JEWISH CHILDREN AGE 0-17
WHO DID NOT, WILL NOT, OR MIGHT NOT SEND JEWISH CHILDREN TO JEWISH DAY SCHOOL**

Community	Year	%		Community	Year	%
Portland (ME)	2007	32%		Miami	2014	10%
Jacksonville	2002	30%		San Antonio	2007	10%
St. Paul	2004	22%		Westport	2000	10%
Tidewater	2001	22%		Harrisburg	1994	7%
W Palm Beach	2005	20%		S Palm Beach	2005	6%
Rhode Island	2002	20%		Tucson	2002	6%
New Haven	2010	17%		Monmouth	1997	6%
Lehigh Valley	2007	15%		Milwaukee	1996	6%
Washington	2003	14%		Rochester	1999	5%
Hartford	2000	14%		Charlotte	1997	5%
Wilmington	1995	14%		Middlesex	2008	4%
Minneapolis	2004	12%		Detroit	2005	4%
Las Vegas	2005	11%		Sarasota	2001	3%
Atlantic County	2004	11%		Bergen	2001	2%
Broward	1997	11%				

16

DISTANCE FROM HOME AS A MAJOR REASON FOR NOT SENDING JEWISH CHILDREN TO A JEWISH DAY SCHOOL

(Respondents in Households with Jewish Children Age 0-17
Who Did Not, Will Not, or Might Not Send Jewish Children to Jewish Day School)



**TABLE 8
SCHOOL IS TOO RELIGIOUS FOR FAMILY/FAMILY IS NOT RELIGIOUS
AS A MAJOR REASON FOR NOT SENDING JEWISH CHILDREN
TO A JEWISH DAY SCHOOL
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS**

**BASE: RESPONDENTS IN HOUSEHOLDS WITH JEWISH CHILDREN AGE 0-17
WHO DID NOT, WILL NOT, OR MIGHT NOT SEND JEWISH CHILDREN TO JEWISH DAY SCHOOL**

Community	Year	%		Community	Year	%
Middlesex	2008	22%		W Palm Beach	2005	10%
Tucson	2002	20%		Rochester	1999	10%
Rhode Island	2002	19%		Lehigh Valley	2007	9%
Bergen	2001	19%		Portland (ME)	2007	9%
S Palm Beach	2005	17%		Minneapolis	2004	9%
Charlotte	1997	17%		Miami	2014	8%
Harrisburg	1994	17%		Sarasota	2001	8%
New Haven	2010	15%		Tidewater	2001	8%
Hartford	2000	14%		Broward	1997	8%
San Antonio	2007	13%		Washington	2003	7%
Monmouth	1997	13%		Jacksonville	2002	7%
Detroit	2005	12%		Atlantic County	2004	6%
St. Paul	2004	12%		Milwaukee	1996	5%
Wilmington	1995	12%		Las Vegas	2005	2%
Westport	2000	11%				

17

SCHOOL IS TOO RELIGIOUS FOR FAMILY/FAMILY IS NOT RELIGIOUS AS A MAJOR REASON FOR NOT SENDING JEWISH CHILDREN TO A JEWISH DAY SCHOOL

(Respondents in Households with Jewish Children Age 0-17
Who Did Not, Will Not, or Might Not Send Jewish Children to Jewish Day School)




TABLE 9
QUALITY OF OTHER PRIVATE OR PUBLIC SCHOOLS
AS A MAJOR REASON FOR NOT SENDING JEWISH CHILDREN
TO A JEWISH DAY SCHOOL
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS

BASE: RESPONDENTS IN HOUSEHOLDS WITH JEWISH CHILDREN AGE 0-17
WHO DID NOT, WILL NOT, OR MIGHT NOT SEND JEWISH CHILDREN TO JEWISH DAY SCHOOL


Community	Year	%		Community	Year	%
San Antonio	2007	19%		Washington	2003	8%
Atlantic County	2004	17%		S Palm Beach	2005	7%
Westport	2000	17%		Minneapolis	2004	7%
Lehigh Valley	2007	16%		Jacksonville	2002	7%
St. Paul	2004	15%		Tidewater	2001	7%
Rochester	1999	15%		Charlotte	1997	7%
Portland (ME)	2007	14%		Miami	2014	6%
W Palm Beach	2005	14%		Monmouth	1997	5%
Detroit	2005	12%		Las Vegas	2005	4%
Hartford	2000	12%		Broward	1997	4%
Tucson	2002	11%		Sarasota	2001	3%
New Haven	2010	10%		Milwaukee	1996	2%
Middlesex	2008	10%		Wilmington	1995	1%
Rhode Island	2002	10%		Harrisburg	1994	0%
Bergen	2001	9%				

18

QUALITY OF OTHER PRIVATE OR PUBLIC SCHOOLS AS A MAJOR REASON FOR NOT SENDING JEWISH CHILDREN TO A JEWISH DAY SCHOOL

(Respondents in Households with Jewish Children Age 0-17

Who Did Not, Will Not, or Might Not Send Jewish Children to Jewish Day School)



**TABLE 10
QUALITY OF EDUCATION AT JEWISH DAY SCHOOLS
AS A MAJOR REASON FOR NOT SENDING JEWISH CHILDREN
TO A JEWISH DAY SCHOOL
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS**

**BASE: RESPONDENTS IN HOUSEHOLDS WITH JEWISH CHILDREN AGE 0-17
WHO DID NOT, WILL NOT, OR MIGHT NOT SEND JEWISH CHILDREN TO JEWISH DAY SCHOOL**

Community	Year	%		Community	Year	%
Wilmington	1995	14%		Westport	2000	6%
Milwaukee	1996	11%		Charlotte	1997	6%
Portland (ME)	2007	9%		Middlesex	2008	5%
S Palm Beach	2005	8%		St. Paul	2004	5%
Minneapolis	2004	8%		Rhode Island	2002	5%
Hartford	2000	8%		Bergen	2001	5%
Rochester	1999	8%		Tidewater	2001	5%
Harrisburg	1994	8%		New Haven	2010	4%
Miami	2014	7%		Lehigh Valley	2007	4%
Detroit	2005	7%		Sarasota	2001	3%
Washington	2003	7%		Las Vegas	2005	2%
Jacksonville	2002	7%		W Palm Beach	2005	1%
Broward	1997	7%		Tucson	2002	1%
Monmouth	1997	7%		Atlantic County	2004	0%
San Antonio	2007	6%				

19

QUALITY OF EDUCATION AT JEWISH DAY SCHOOLS AS A MAJOR REASON FOR NOT SENDING JEWISH CHILDREN TO A JEWISH DAY SCHOOL

(Respondents in Households with Jewish Children Age 0-17

Who Did Not, Will Not, or Might Not Send Jewish Children to Jewish Day School)




TABLE 1 1
HAVE A SPECIAL NEEDS CHILD
AS A MAJOR REASON FOR NOT SENDING JEWISH CHILDREN
TO A JEWISH DAY SCHOOL
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS

BASE: RESPONDENTS IN HOUSEHOLDS WITH JEWISH CHILDREN AGE 0-17
WHO DID NOT, WILL NOT, OR MIGHT NOT SEND JEWISH CHILDREN TO JEWISH DAY SCHOOL

Community	Year	%		Community	Year	%
Middlesex	2008	6%		Rochester	1999	2%
Jacksonville	2002	5%		Charlotte	1997	2%
San Antonio	2007	4%		Monmouth	1997	2%
Lehigh Valley	2007	3%		Miami	2014	1%
Portland (ME)	2007	3%		S Palm Beach	2005	1%
Las Vegas	2005	3%		Rhode Island	2002	1%
Broward	1997	3%		Hartford	2000	1%
New Haven	2010	2%		Wilmington	1995	1%
Detroit	2005	2%		Bergen	2001	0%
W Palm Beach	2005	2%		Sarasota	2001	0%
Atlantic County	2004	2%		Tidewater	2001	0%
Minneapolis	2004	2%		Westport	2000	0%
St. Paul	2004	2%		Milwaukee	1996	0%
Washington	2003	2%		Harrisburg	1994	0%
Tucson	2002	2%				

20

**HAVE A SPECIAL NEEDS CHILD
AS A MAJOR REASON FOR NOT SENDING
JEWISH CHILDREN TO A JEWISH DAY SCHOOL**

(Respondents in Households with Jewish Children Age 0-17)

Who Did Not, Will Not, or Might Not Send Jewish Children to Jewish Day School)




TABLE 12
INTERMARRIAGE
AS A MAJOR REASON FOR NOT SENDING JEWISH CHILDREN
TO A JEWISH DAY SCHOOL
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS

BASE: RESPONDENTS IN HOUSEHOLDS WITH JEWISH CHILDREN AGE 0-17
WHO DID NOT, WILL NOT, OR MIGHT NOT SEND JEWISH CHILDREN TO JEWISH DAY SCHOOL


Community	Year	%		Community	Year	%
Charlotte	1997	14%		W Palm Beach	2005	3%
Sarasota	2001	13%		Jacksonville	2002	3%
St. Paul	2004	9%		Hartford	2000	3%
Tucson	2002	9%		Westport	2000	3%
Minneapolis	2004	7%		Washington	2003	2%
Tidewater	2001	7%		Rhode Island	2002	2%
Wilmington	1995	7%		New Haven	2010	1%
Rochester	1999	5%		Detroit	2005	1%
Atlantic County	2004	4%		Las Vegas	2005	1%
Bergen	2001	4%		Miami	2014	0%
Broward	1997	4%		Portland (ME)	2007	0%
Monmouth	1997	4%		San Antonio	2007	0%
Middlesex	2008	3%		Milwaukee	1996	0%
Lehigh Valley	2007	3%		Harrisburg	1994	0%
S Palm Beach	2005	3%				

21

INTERMARRIAGE AS A MAJOR REASON FOR NOT SENDING JEWISH CHILDREN TO A JEWISH DAY SCHOOL

(Respondents in Households with Jewish Children Age 0-17

Who Did Not, Will Not, or Might Not Send Jewish Children to Jewish Day School)



**TABLE 13
FAMILIARITY WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS**

BASE: RESPONDENTS

Community	Year	Very Familiar	Somewhat Familiar	Not at All Familiar
Harrisburg	1994	37%	37	26
Jacksonville	2002	33%	24	43
Tidewater	2001	30%	38	32
San Antonio	2007	21%	34	45
Richmond (Rudlin Torah)	1994	21%	30	50
Lehigh Valley	2007	20%	33	48
Hartford (Schechter)	2000	19%	38	44
Wilmington	1995	19%	36	45
New Haven (Ezra Academy)	2010	18%	37	45
Portland (ME)	2007	17%	35	48
Rochester	1999	17%	34	49
Tucson	2002	16%	33	52
Orlando	1993	15%	30	55
Charlotte	1997	15%	28	57
Rhode Island (Schechter)	2002	14%	36	50
Richmond (Jewish Community Day)	1994	14%	23	64
Rhode Island (Providence Hebrew Day)	2002	13%	41	46
Hartford (Hebrew Academy)	2000	12%	29	59
New Haven (Hebrew Academy)	2010	10%	24	66
Westport ¹	2000	9%	24	67
Atlantic County ²	2004	5%	20	75
Broward (Posnack)	1997	5%	17	78
West Palm Beach	2005	4%	9	87
Broward (Maimonides)	1997	1%	4	95


¹ The Jewish day school is located in a neighboring community.

² The Jewish Community Day School was in the planning stages at the time of the survey.

22

VERY FAMILIAR WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL


(Respondents)



23

NOT AT ALL FAMILIAR WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL

(Respondents)



**TABLE 14
FAMILIARITY WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL
IN HOUSEHOLDS WITH JEWISH CHILDREN
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS**

BASE: RESPONDENTS IN HOUSEHOLDS WITH JEWISH CHILDREN AGE 0-17				
Community	Year	Very Familiar	Somewhat Familiar	Not at All Familiar
Jacksonville	2002	58%	20	23
St. Paul (Talmud Torah)	2004	50%	31	20
Detroit (Hillel)	2005	48%	40	12
S Palm Beach (Donna Klein)	2005	46%	41	13
Harrisburg	1994	43%	45	12
San Antonio	2007	39%	42	19
Tidewater	2001	37%	42	21
Lehigh Valley	2007	33%	37	30
Las Vegas (Hebrew Academy)	2005	33%	32	34
Detroit (Jewish Academy)	2005	32%	44	24
Detroit (Beth Yehudah)	2005	32%	38	30
Detroit (Akiva)	2005	31%	50	19
Orlando	1993	31%	42	27
New Haven (Ezra Academy)	2010	31%	40	29
Richmond (Rudlin Torah)	1994	31%	39	30
Wilmington	1995	31%	36	33
Rhode Island (Schechter)	2002	30%	43	27
Portland (ME)	2007	29%	54	17
Minneapolis (Jewish Day)	2004	29%	46	26
Tucson	2002	27%	48	25
Hartford (Schechter)	2000	27%	41	32
Richmond (Jewish Community Day)	1994	27%	33	40
Detroit (Darchei Torah)	2005	27%	26	47
Charlotte	1997	25%	40	36
Detroit (Gedolah)	2005	24%	24	52

TABLE 14
FAMILIARITY WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL
IN HOUSEHOLDS WITH JEWISH CHILDREN
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS

BASE: RESPONDENTS IN HOUSEHOLDS WITH JEWISH CHILDREN AGE 0-17

Community	Year	Very Familiar	Somewhat Familiar	Not at All Familiar
Middlesex (Schechter)	2008	23%	42	35
Rhode Island (Providence Hebrew Day)	2002	23%	42	36
Middlesex (RPRY)	2008	22%	22	57
Minneapolis (Torah Academy)	2004	21%	56	23
Rochester	1999	21%	37	43
Las Vegas (Schechter)	2005	20%	36	44
Broward (Posnack)	1997	20%	25	55
Hartford (Hebrew Academy)	2000	18%	32	50
St. Paul (Jewish Middle)	2004	17%	29	54
W Palm Beach	2005	17%	23	60
New Haven (Hebrew Academy)	2010	16%	26	58
S Palm Beach (Hillel)	2005	15%	39	46
Middlesex (MAYHS)	2008	13%	25	62
Las Vegas (Desert Torah)	2005	12%	28	60
Atlantic County ¹	2004	11%	35	54
Westport ²	2000	10%	34	56
St. Paul (Chabad Academy)	2004	10%	27	63
S Palm Beach (Schechter)	2005	10%	25	65
Minneapolis (Jewish Middle)	2004	9%	26	65
S Palm Beach (Torah Academy)	2005	7%	16	77
S Palm Beach (Weinbaum)	2005	6%	11	83
Broward (Maimonides)	1997	5%	8	87


¹ The Jewish Community Day School was in the planning stages at the time of the survey.

² The Jewish day school is located in a neighboring community.

24

VERY FAMILIAR WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL

(Respondents in Households with Jewish Children Age 0-17)



25

NOT AT ALL FAMILIAR WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL

(Respondents in Households with Jewish Children Age 0-17)




TABLE 15
PERCEPTION OF THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS

BASE: RESPONDENTS VERY/SOMEWHAT FAMILIAR WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL

Community	Year	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Excellent/ Good
Jacksonville	2002	55%	37	9	0	91%
Orlando	1993	51%	43	5	1	94%
Westport ¹	2000	45%	48	6	1	93%
Tucson	2002	45%	46	8	1	91%
Broward (Posnack)	1997	43%	48	8	1	91%
West Palm Beach	2005	42%	50	7	1	92%
Tidewater	2001	41%	50	6	3	91%
Harrisburg	1994	39%	52	8	2	91%
Lehigh Valley	2007	39%	51	9	1	90%
Charlotte	1997	39%	51	7	3	90%
Hartford (Schechter)	2000	38%	55	7	0	93%
Portland (ME)	2007	37%	57	6	0	95%
Hartford (Hebrew Academy)	2000	36%	57	7	0	93%
Richmond (Rudlin Torah)	1994	36%	47	14	4	82%
San Antonio	2007	35%	50	13	2	85%
New Haven (Ezra Academy)	2010	34%	53	12	1	87%
Wilmington	1995	34%	53	10	3	88%
Rhode Island (Schechter)	2002	32%	55	11	2	87%
Rochester	1999	31%	51	15	4	81%
Rhode Island (Providence Hebrew Day)	2002	29%	57	14	1	85%
New Haven (Hebrew Academy)	2010	28%	54	15	3	82%

TABLE 15
PERCEPTION OF THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS

BASE: RESPONDENTS VERY/SOMEWHAT FAMILIAR WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL


Community	Year	<i>Excellent</i>	Good	Fair	Poor	Excellent/ Good
Broward (Maimonides)	1997	21%	61	14	4	82%
Richmond (Jewish Community Day)	1994	19%	52	22	7	71%

¹ The Jewish day school is located in a neighboring community.

26

EXCELLENT PERCEPTIONS OF THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL


(Respondents Who Are Very/Somewhat Familiar)



27

FAIR/POOR PERCEPTIONS OF THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL

(Respondents Who Are Very/Somewhat Familiar)



**TABLE 16
PERCEPTION OF THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL
IN HOUSEHOLDS WITH JEWISH CHILDREN
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS**

**BASE: RESPONDENTS IN HOUSEHOLDS WITH JEWISH CHILDREN AGE 0-17
VERY/SOMEWHAT FAMILIAR WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL**

Community	Year	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Excellent/ Good
Jacksonville	2002	60%	32	9	0	91%
Orlando	1993	52%	39	8	1	91%
Westport ¹	2000	47%	45	7	1	92%
Broward (Posnack)	1997	47%	44	9	0	91%
W Palm Beach	2005	45%	44	8	4	89%
Detroit (Jewish Academy)	2005	44%	46	9	1	90%
St. Paul (Jewish Middle)	2004	42%	46	12	0	88%
Detroit (Gedolah)	2005	42%	36	21	2	78%
St. Paul (Talmud Torah)	2004	41%	50	6	3	90%
Tidewater	2001	41%	46	6	7	87%
Minneapolis (Jewish Day)	2004	40%	53	7	0	93%
Portland (ME)	2007	39%	58	3	0	97%
Charlotte	1997	39%	48	7	6	87%
Detroit (Darchei Torah)	2005	39%	39	21	1	78%
New Haven (Hebrew Academy)	2010	38%	50	10	2	88%
Detroit (Beth Yehudah)	2005	38%	42	19	1	80%
Rhode Island (Schechter)	2002	35%	52	12	1	87%
Tucson	2002	35%	48	17	1	82%
S Palm Beach (Donna Klein)	2005	35%	47	13	5	82%
Hartford (Hebrew Academy)	2000	34%	58	7	0	93%
Detroit (Hillel)	2005	34%	46	17	4	80%
Hartford (Schechter)	2000	33%	60	7	0	93%
Richmond (Rudlin Torah)	1994	33%	47	14	6	79%

**TABLE 16
PERCEPTION OF THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL
IN HOUSEHOLDS WITH JEWISH CHILDREN
COMMUNITY COMPARISONS**

**BASE: RESPONDENTS IN HOUSEHOLDS WITH JEWISH CHILDREN AGE 0-17
VERY/SOMEWHAT FAMILIAR WITH THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL**


Community	Year	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	Excellent/ Good
Rhode Island (Providence Hebrew Day)	2002	32%	49	18	2	80%
Harrisburg	1994	31%	58	8	3	89%
San Antonio	2007	31%	48	18	3	79%
Las Vegas (Hebrew Academy)	2005	31%	46	12	12	77%
S Palm Beach (Hillel)	2005	29%	62	6	3	91%
Lehigh Valley	2007	28%	51	17	4	79%
New Haven (Ezra Academy)	2010	27%	60	11	2	87%
Wilmington	1995	27%	54	16	4	80%
St. Paul (Chabad Academy)	2004	23%	44	27	6	67%
Rochester	1999	22%	40	29	9	62%
Detroit (Akiva)	2005	21%	58	20	1	80%
Las Vegas (Desert Torah)	2005	20%	55	22	4	74%
Richmond (Jewish Community Day)	1994	20%	51	19	10	71%
Minneapolis (Jewish Middle)	2004	18%	71	11	0	89%
Middlesex (RPRY)	2008	18%	53	23	6	71%
Middlesex (Schechter)	2008	17%	66	17	1	82%
Minneapolis (Torah Academy)	2004	17%	64	15	4	81%
Broward (Maimonides)	1997	14%	68	18	0	83%
S Palm Beach (Schechter)	2005	13%	70	13	4	83%
Las Vegas (Schechter)	2005	13%	67	15	4	81%
Middlesex (MAYHS)	2008	11%	37	41	12	48%

¹ The Jewish day school is located in a neighboring community.

28

EXCELLENT PERCEPTIONS OF THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL

(Respondents in Households with Jewish Children Age 0-17 Who Are Very/Somewhat Familiar)



29

FAIR/POOR PERCEPTIONS OF THE LOCAL JEWISH DAY SCHOOL

(Respondents in Households with Jewish Children Age 0-17 Who Are Very/Somewhat Familiar)

